



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

SD
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/943,670	08/31/2001	Brad Pivar	7207-211	1117
27383	7590	07/06/2005	EXAMINER	
CLIFFORD CHANCE US LLP 31 WEST 52ND STREET NEW YORK, NY 10019-6131				ABEL JALIL, NEVEEN
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
		2165		

DATE MAILED: 07/06/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/943,670	PIVAR ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Neveen Abel-Jalil	2165

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Mr. Joel Bock (Attorney of Record). (3) _____.
 (2) Neveen Abel-Jalil. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 30 June 2005.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
 c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.
 If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: Claims 1, and 8.

Identification of prior art discussed: Brimm et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,077,666).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.



Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The Applicant's representative requested the After-Final interview to discuss the difference between the cited art Brimm et al. and the claim language specifically independent claims 1, and 8. The applicant's representative argued that Brimm et al. does not disclose "digital signature" as claimed in claim 8, to authenticate a single document to protect against un-authorized modification, while the Examiner maintains that the claimed language does not clearly state this step, instead, broadly interpreted Brimm et al. in column 9, lines 32-41. The applicant's representative discussed proposed amendment to the claim.

The Applicant's representative argued Brimm et al. does not disclose an electronic request automatically routed to a second user in order to fill part of the document. The Examiner responded by stating that no where in claim 1 is this claimed, the claim merely states "providing at least one request to a second user at a second location". No agreement was reached. The Applicant's representative indicated a response will be filed shortly.